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## Feedback on Faculty Department of CSE Sample feedback forms - Pg. No. 2 Feedback Analysis - Pg. No. 6

## Computer Science \& Engineering <br> Admission Year 2018-2019 <br> Software Engineering(18CS35) Shatananda Bhat $P$

## Course Feedback Report

 Total students: 61Percentgae=73.96\%

| SI. No. | Feedback Parameters | Scores |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Is regular and punctual in taking up of classes | 4.43 |
| 2 | Demonstrates sound knowledge on the subject | 3.80 |
| 3 | Provides additional knowledge on the subject beyond syllabus | 3.57 |
| 4 | Is fair in dealing with students | 3.75 |
| 5 | Creates an atmosphere conducive to learning | 3.34 |
| 6 | Deals effectively with student's behaviour | 3.70 |
| 7 | Provides notes that is a good match for the objectives | 3.95 |
| 8 | Plans activities that are well differentiated and appreciated by students | 3.43 |
| 9 | Welcomes/settles the class appropriately and displays humble but commanding skills | 3.74 |
| 10 | Makes objectives if the session/topic explicit to students | 3.62 |
| 11 | Engages the class with activities that are appropriate and creative | 3.46 |
| 12 | Encourage them to think | 3.57 |

## CO Feedback

Percentgae=4.17\%

## Computer Science \& Engineering <br> Admission Year 2018-2019 <br> Aadalitha Kannada(18KAK39) Ganesh Kamath M

## Course Feedback Report <br> Total students: 107

Percentgae=92.43\%

| SI. No. | Feedback Parameters | Scores |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Is regular and punctual in taking up of classes | 4.60 |
| 2 | Demonstrates sound knowledge on the subject | 4.58 |
| 3 | Provides additional knowledge on the subject beyond syllabus | 4.67 |
| 4 | Is fair in dealing with students | 4.63 |
| 5 | Creates an atmosphere conducive to learning | 4.67 |
| 6 | Deals effectively with student's behaviour | 4.64 |
| 7 | Provides notes that is a good match for the objectives | 4.58 |
| 8 | Plans activities that are well differentiated and appreciated by students | 4.57 |
| 9 | Welcomes/settles the class appropriately and displays humble but commanding skills | 4.64 |
| 10 | Makes objectives if the session/topic explicit to students | 4.62 |
| 11 | Engages the class with activities that are appropriate and creative | 4.62 |
| 12 | Encourage them to think | 4.64 |

## CO Feedback

## Percentgae=2.83\%

## Course Feedback Report Total students: 58

Percentgae=84.05\%

| SI. No. | Feedback Parameters | Scores |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Is regular and punctual in taking up of classes | 4.31 |
| 2 | Demonstrates sound knowledge on the subject | 4.17 |
| 3 | Provides additional knowledge on the subject beyond syllabus | 4.22 |
| 4 | Is fair in dealing with students | 4.22 |
| 5 | Creates an atmosphere conducive to learning | 4.14 |
| 6 | Deals effectively with student's behaviour | 4.22 |
| 7 | Provides notes that is a good match for the objectives | 4.28 |
| 8 | Plans activities that are well differentiated and appreciated by students | 4.19 |
| 9 | Welcomes/settles the class appropriately and displays humble but commanding skills | 4.21 |
| 10 | Makes objectives if the session/topic explicit to students | 4.22 |
| 11 | Engages the class with activities that are appropriate and creative | 4.14 |
| 12 | Encourage them to think | 4.10 |

## CO Feedback

Percentgae=4.29\%

## Course Feedback Report Total students: 56

Percentgae=93.84\%

| SI. No. | Feedback Parameters | Scores |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Is regular and punctual in taking up of classes | 4.66 |
| 2 | Demonstrates sound knowledge on the subject | 4.79 |
| 3 | Provides additional knowledge on the subject beyond syllabus | 4.66 |
| 4 | Is fair in dealing with students | 4.71 |
| 5 | Creates an atmosphere conducive to learning | 4.66 |
| 6 | Deals effectively with student's behaviour | 4.70 |
| 7 | Provides notes that is a good match for the objectives | 4.75 |
| 8 | Plans activities that are well differentiated and appreciated by students | 4.73 |
| 9 | Welcomes/settles the class appropriately and displays humble but commanding skills | 4.62 |
| 10 | Makes objectives if the session/topic explicit to students | 4.62 |
| 11 | Engages the class with activities that are appropriate and creative | 4.68 |
| 12 | Encourage them to think | 4.71 |

## CO Feedback

Percentgae=4.62\%

## ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Academic Year: 2019-2020

| SI. No. | Faculty name | Course name | Course <br> code | FB in <br> \%age |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Shyamala | Transform Calculus, Fourier <br> Series And Numerical <br> Techniques | 18 MAT31 | 88.83 |
| 2 | GP | Transform Calculus, Fourier <br> Series And Numerical <br> Techniques | 18 MAT31 | 87.57 |
| 3 | Sathisha M S | Data structures and Applications | 18 CS32 | 93.37 |
| 4 | Dhananjaya B | Analog and Digital Electronics | 18 CS33 | 95.82 |
| 5 | Anupama V | Analog and Digital Electronics | 18 CS33 | 81.28 |
| 6 | Satish Shenoy | Computer Organization | 18 CS34 | 82.35 |
| 7 | Santosh D K | Computer Organization | 18 CS34 | 85.49 |
| 8 | Jagadisha N | Software Engineering | 18 CS35 | 87.32 |
| 9 | Shatananda Bhat | Software Engineering | 18 CS35 | 73.96 |
| 10 | Shrisha H S | Discrete Mathematical <br> Structures | 18 CS36 | 84.95 |
| 11 | Saritha Suvarna | Discrete Mathematical <br> Structures | 18 CS36 | 95.79 |
| 12 | Dhananjaya B | Analog and Digital Electronics <br> Lab | 18 CSL37 | 93.77 |
| 13 | Anupama V | Analog and Digital Electronics <br> Lab | 18 CSL37 | 83.75 |
| 14 | Santosh D K | Data Structures Lab | 18 CSL38 | 92.87 |
| 15 | Saritha Suvarna | Data Structures Lab | 18 CSL38 | 95.08 |
| 16 | Ganesh Kamath | Vyavaharika Kannada | 18 KVK39 | 99.17 |
| 17 | Ganesh Kamath | Aadalitha Kannada | 18 KAK39 | 92.43 |
| 18 | Annapurna M | Management and <br> Entrepreneurship for IT industry | 17 CS51 | 81.5 |
| 19 | Sushma M D | Computer Networks | 17 CS52 | 91.74 |
| 20 | Lohith B | Database Management System | 17 CS53 | 91.67 |
| 21 | Verdine Noronha | Database Management System | 17 CS53 | 86.92 |
| 22 | Kaushik K S | Automata theory and <br> Computability | 17 CS54 | 92.56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |


| 23 | Suresha D | Automata theory and <br> Computability | 17 CS54 | 87.79 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | Sudheer Baraker | Advanced JAVA and J2EE | 17 CS553 | 88.72 |
| 25 | Santosh Hiremath | Advanced JAVA and J2EE | 17 CS553 | 88.08 |
| 26 | Krishna Prasad | Dot Net framework for <br> application development | 17 CS564 | 90.18 |
| 27 | Sudheer Baraker | Computer Network Lab | 17 CSL57 | 89.94 |
| 28 | Santosh Hiremath | Computer Network Lab | 17 CSL57 | 88.72 |
| 29 | Lohith B | DBMS Laboratory with mini <br> project | 17 CSL58 | 91.64 |
| 30 | Verdine Noronha | DBMS Laboratory with mini <br> project | 17 CSL58 | 89.04 |
| 31 | Demian D'mello | Web Technology and its <br> applications | 15 CS71 | 84.61 |
| 32 | Alok Ranjan | Web Technology and its <br> applications | 15 CS71 | 94.46 |
| 33 | Sunil Kumar B L | Advanced Computer <br> Architectures | 15 CS72 | 89.48 |
| 34 | Shrisha H.S. | Machine Learning | 15 CS73 | 88.56 |
| 35 | Deepak D | Machine Learning | 15 CS73 | 94.52 |
| 36 | Rajgopal K T | Cloud Computing and its <br> Applications | 15 CS742 | 92.71 |
| 37 | Jagadisha N | Storage Area Networks | 15 CS754 | 96.34 |
| 38 | Shatananda Bhat | Storage Area Networks | 15 CS754 | 93.82 |
| 39 | Shrisha H.S. | Machine Learning Laboratory | 15 CSL76 | 91.86 |
| 40 | Deepak D | Machine Learning Laboratory | 15 CSL76 | 95.91 |
| 41 | Sathish Shenoy | Web Technology Laboratory <br> with Mini Project | 15 CSL77 | 95.46 |
| 42 | Alok Ranjan | Web Technology Laboratory <br> with Mini Project | 15 CSL77 | 96.37 |
| 43 | Santosh Hiremath | Project Phase I and Seminar | 15 CSP78 | 90.16 |

## Observation:

The average faculty feedback obtained for this academic year is $90.15 \%$ with lowest $=73.96$ and highest=99.17.

## Action suggested:

As per College norms, it is expected that every faculty get minimum feedback of $70 \%$ in their respective course. Since all faculties have obtained feedback is above $70 \%$ it is suggested to the concerned faculty to continue the same good work and improve further.


## Computer Science and Engineering Department

## ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Academic Year：2019－2020
Sem：Even

| Sl．No． | Faculty name | Course name | Course code | FB in \％age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Chaithra | Complex Analysis，Probability and Statistical Methods | 18MAT41 | 89.4 |
| 2 | Satish Hegde | Complex Analysis，Probability and Statistical Methods | 18MAT41 | 86.87 |
| 3 | Verdine Noronha | Design and Analysis of Algorithms | 18CS42 | 87.62 |
| 4 | Sunil Kumar B L | Design and Analysis of Algorithms | 18CS42 | 88.1 |
| 5 | Jagadisha N | Operating Systems | 18CS43 | 88.32 |
| 6 | Dhananjaya B | Microcontroller and Embedded Systems | 18CS44 | 85.96 |
| 7 | Satish Shenoy | Microcontroller and Embedded Systems | 18CS44 | 84.05 |
| 8 | Sudheer Baraker | Object Oriented Concepts | 18CS45 | 84.57 |
| 9 | Lohith B | Object Oriented Concepts | 18CS45 | 92.61 |
| 10 | Krishna Prasad | Data Communication | 18CS46 | 88.4 |
| 11 | Verdine Noronha | Design and Analysis of Algorithms Lab | 18CSL47 | 88.79 |
| 12 | Lohith | Design and Analysis of Algorithms Lab | 18CSL47 | 91.44 |
| 13 | Dhananjaya B | Microcontroller and Embedded Systems Lab | 18CSL48 | 87.76 |
| 14 | Eugine D＇cunha | Constitution of India，Professional Ethics and Cyber Law | 18CPC49 | 89.75 |
| 15 | Anupama V | Cryptography，Network Security and Cyber Law | 17CS61 | 90.63 |
| 16 | Rajgopal K T | Cryptography，Network Security and Cyber Law | 17CS61 | 90.06 |
| 17 | Shatananda Bhat | Computer Graphics and Visualization | 17CS62 | 90.74 |


| 18 | Satish Shenoy | Computer Graphics and <br> Visualization | 17 CS 62 | 89.21 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | Suresha D | System Software and Compiler <br> Design | 17 CS 63 | 91.48 |
| 20 | Sathisha M S | System Software and Compiler <br> Design | 17 CS 63 | 91.14 |
| 21 | Kaushik K S | Operating Systems | 17 CS 64 | 91 |
| 22 | Deepak D | Operating Systems | 17 CS 64 | 90.64 |
| 23 | Sushma M D | Data Mining and Data <br> Warehousing | 17 CS 651 | 90.7 |
| 24 | Saritha Suvarna | Data Mining and Data <br> Warehousing | 17 CS651 | 89.47 |
| 25 | Annapurna M | Python Application Programming | 17 CS664 | 91 |
| 26 | Demian D'Mello | Python Application Programming | 17 CS664 | 88.36 |
| 27 | Suresha D | System Software and Operating <br> System Lab | 17 CSL67 | 91.52 |
| 28 | Kaushik K S | System Software and Operating <br> System Lab | 17 CSL67 | 89.44 |
| 29 | Shatananda Bhat | Computer Graphics Laboratory <br> with mini project | 17 CSL68 | 90.15 |
| 30 | Sushma M D | Computer Graphics Laboratory <br> with mini project | 17 CSL68 | 89.24 |
| 31 | Shrisha H.S | Internet of Things and <br> Applications | 15 CS81 | 90.45 |
| 32 | Santhosh D K | Big Data Analytics | 15 CS82 | 90.61 |
| 33 | Santosh Hiremath | System Modeling and Simulation | 15 CS834 | 93.84 |
| 34 | Alok Ranjan | System Modeling and Simulation | 15 CS834 | 92.69 |
| 35 | Deepak D | Internship / Professional Practice | 15 CS84 | 91.38 |
| 36 | Santosh Hiremath | Project work phase II | 15 CSP85 | 91.84 |
| 37 | Sathisha M S | Seminar | 15 CSS86 | 90.38 |

## Observation:

The average faculty feedback obtained for this academic year is $89.72 \%$ with lowest $=84.05$ and highest $=93.84$.

## Action suggested:

As per College norms, it is expected that every faculty get minimum feedback of $70 \%$ in their respective course. Since all faculties have obtained feedback is above $70 \%$ it is suggested to the concerned faculty to continue the same good work and improve further.


